



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE UNITED STATES DEFARTMENT OF COMMI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Bex 1459 Alexandra, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10 022,506 12/20/2001 862.C2476 Yoshikazu Miyajima 5514 7590 07/10/2003 FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO EXAMINER 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA FULLER, RODNEY EVAN NEW YORK, NY 10112

> ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

> > 2851

DATE MAILED: 07 10 2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
_	10/022,506	MIYAJIMA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Rodney E Fuller	2851
The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
eriod for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). tatus	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of this period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO watching cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>14 April 2003</u> .	
Zair i illio action le i little	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for	allowance except for formal m	atters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice of claims		J.D. 11, 400 O.S. 210.
4) Claim(s) 40-99 is/are pending in the app	olication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	ithdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>40-99</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	vominor	
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	anniner. Tassantad or b\□ objected to by	v the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be held in abo	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed or	n <i>14 April 2003</i> is: a)⊠ approv	ed b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are require	ed in reply to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of to application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action for	the priority documents have be onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a or a list of the certified copies r	en received in this National Stage)). not received.
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a	domestic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)
a) The translation of the foreign langu	lage provisional application has	s been received.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	9-948) 5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Remarks

In response to applicant's Amendment, dated April 14, 2003, the examiner acknowledges the cancellation of claims 1-39 and the addition of claims 40-99. Claims 40-99 are pending.

On page 42 of the Amendment, the applicant noted that there was an error on the Notice of References Cited by the Examiner (PTO-892 form) in that the Japanese patent document "JP 2000209838 A" was listed as "JP200209838." A corrected PTO-892 form is included with this Office Action.

The examiner acknowledges the corrections of the objections related to the Drawings set forth in the Office Action mailed January 13, 2003.

The cancellation of claims 1-39 has rendered the 35 USC 112 and 35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejections moot.

On page 43, the applicant indicated appreciation "that claims 3, 4, 6-8, 10-13, 15-32, 34, 35, 38 and 39 were indicated allowable, if rewritten in independent form." Although, the issue is most due to the cancellation of claims 1-39, claims 3, 4, 6-8, 10-13, 15-32, 34, 35, 38, 39 were objected to in the last Office Action and not indicated allowable if rewritten in independent form.

Specification

1. A substitute specification excluding the claims is required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.125(a) because the number and nature of the amendments render it difficult to consider the application and/or to arrange the papers for printing or copying.

Art Unit: 2851

A substitute specification filed under 37 CFR 1.125(a) must only contain subject matter from the original specification and any previously entered amendment under 37 CFR 1.121. If the substitute specification contains additional subject matter not of record, the substitute specification must be filed under 37 CFR 1.125(b) and must be accompanied by: 1) a statement that the substitute specification contains no new matter; and 2) a marked-up copy showing the amendments to be made via the substitute specification relative to the specification at the time the substitute specification is filed.

Claim Objections

- 2. Claim 49 is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a. In claim 49, last line, the word "allow" appears to be a typographical error. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 40-45, 48, 52 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chitayat (US5,519,266).

Regarding claims 40, 45, 48, 52 and 53, Chitayat discloses "a movable member (column 2, line 26) having a magnet; and a coil (column 1, line 7) wound about the

Art Unit: 2851

magnet so as to generate a drive force for driving the movable member and having a multi-layer structure formed by winding a foil-like conductor (column 6, line 27) and an insulating layer (column 8, line 47), wherein the foil like conductor has a plurality of partial coils having identical current application/rotation directions (Fig. 8, ref.# 120), and the plurality of partial coils are formed in such as way that the foil-like conductor forms a continuous, seamless strip (Fig. 8, ref.# 120)."

Regarding claim 41, Chitayat discloses "wherein the plurality of partial coils are separated from each other in a direction of a gap in a magnetic circuit formed between the partial coils and the magnet." (Fig. 8, ref.# 120 and column 8, lines 41-44)

Regarding claim 42, Chitayat discloses "wherein the foil like conductor is bent helically among the plurality of partial coils." (Fig. 8, ref.# 120)

Regarding claim 43, Chitayat discloses "wherein the foil like conductor is folded at least twice among, in a direction substantially perpendicular to, the plurality of partial coils." (Fig. 8, ref.# 120 and column 8, lines 41-44)

Regarding claim 44, Chitayat discloses "wherein the foil like conductor is extended form the interior of the plurality of partial coils so as to connect the plurality of partial coils." (Fig. 8. ref.# 120 and column 8, lines 41-44)

Application/Control Number: 10/022,506 Page 5

Art Unit: 2851

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 46, 47, 49, 50 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chitayat (US 5,519,266).

Chitayat discloses all the structure set forth in the claims except (claim 46) "wherein the insulating layer is made of one of a polymer material and an oxide film of the foil like conductor;" (claim 47) "wherein the insulating layer is an insulating film using a paraffin based fully aromatic polyamide fiber or resin;" (claim 49) "wherein the foil like conductor has a cladding material member containing at least one layer of a conductor made from a material selected form the group consisting of aluminum, gold, silver, ferrite alloy, Ni alloy and permalloy;" (claim 50) "wherein the cladding material includes a copper-aluminum allow;" (claim 51) "wherein the cladding member includes a high permeability material." It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select the material noted above, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Art Unit: 2851

7. Claims 54-99 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Korenaga (US 6,002,465) in view of Chitayat (US 5,519,266).

Korenaga discloses all the structure set forth in the claims except for the feature of the foil coil ("a coil formed by winding a foil like conductor having an insulating layer in a multi-layered structure') of the present invention, as noted by the applicant on page 44 of the Amendment dated April 14, 2003. In other words, Korenaga discloses "an exposure apparatus for exposing a substrate to a pattern," which includes "a wafer stage; and a linear motor apparatus for driving the wafer stage, wherein the linear motor apparatus comprises: (i) a movable member having a magnet." Korenaga does not disclose "a coil would about the magnet so as to generate a drive force for driving the movable member and having a multi-layered structure formed by winding a foil like conductor and an insulating layer, wherein the foil like conductor has a plurality of partial coils having identical current application/rotation directions, and the plurality of coils are formed in such a way that the foil like conductor forms a continuous, seamless strip." However, the feature of the foil coil is routine in the art as is evident from the teaching of Chitayat. (See - 35 USC § 102 section above) Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a linear motor with a foil coil structure as noted above. The ordinary artisan would have been motivated to modify Korenaga in the manner described above to provide a motor coil which has a high conductor occupation rate per unit space and is easy to manufacture as noted by Sudo, et al. (US 4,340,833) in column 1.

Art Unit: 2851

The combination of Korenaga and Chitayat discloses all the structure set forth in the claims except (claim 63) "wherein the insulating layer is made of one of a polymer material and an oxide film of the foil like conductor;" (claim 64) "wherein the insulating layer is an insulating film using a paraffin based fully aromatic polyamide fiber or resin;" (claim 79) "wherein the cladding material includes a copper-aluminum alloy;" it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select the material noted above, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2851

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Sudo, et al. (US 4,340,833) discloses a linear motor with a foil coil type structure.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney Fuller whose telephone number is (703) 306-5641. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Russ Adams, can be reached on (703) 308-2847.

Rodney Fuller

Primary Examiner

June 27, 2003